

An underwater scene featuring a vibrant coral reef with various colorful corals and a school of fish swimming in the clear blue water. The surface of the ocean is visible at the top, with a bright, cloudy sky above. A network of white lines and dots is overlaid on the scene, connecting various points across the reef and water.

# OECD work in support of a **sustainable ocean**



# OECD work in support of a sustainable ocean

The ocean is vital for human well-being. Covering two-thirds of the planet, it contains rich biodiverse habitats, provides invaluable ecosystem services, is central to global food security, and absorbs significant amounts of heat and carbon dioxide. The ocean also presents immense opportunities for economic growth, employment and development. The ocean economy spans multiple sectors – including oil and gas, fishing, aquaculture, shipping, tourism, offshore wind energy, mining, and marine biotechnology – and is growing rapidly. OECD projections show that it could double in size from 2010 to 2030, to reach USD 3 trillion and employ 40 million people.

We must manage the ocean well, use its resources sustainably and reduce environmental pressures to realise the full potential of the ocean economy. The ocean is under immense pressure from a wide range of human activities and this will increase unless governments take bold action to ensure the protection of its natural resources. Pollution from plastics, offshore oil and gas, shipping, sewage, and fertiliser and agricultural runoff are significant challenges. Rapid urbanisation of coastal zones further aggravates pollution, habitat loss and resource pressure. Because of ineffective fisheries management and illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing, many fish stocks are overfished or have collapsed. Climate change (sea-level rise, ocean warming and ocean acidification) further increases the strain on many species and habitats. All of this severely compromises the health and resilience of marine ecosystems and the ability of the ocean economy to sustainably develop and prosper.

The OECD is helping governments reconcile the ever-growing use of marine resources with the need to safeguard and improve the health of ocean ecosystems. The policy analysis

## Contents


The ocean economy	2
Science, technology and innovation for a sustainable ocean economy	5
Developing countries and the ocean economy	8
Ocean conservation and sustainable use	12
Ocean pollution	16
The ocean and climate change	21
Sustainable and resilient fisheries and aquaculture	24
Ocean shipping and shipbuilding	27

For further information:  
[www.oecd.org/ocean](http://www.oecd.org/ocean)

and guidance developed by the OECD helps countries to work towards fulfilling their international commitments on the ocean including Sustainable Development Goal 14 on Life below Water, the Convention for Biological Diversity's Aichi Targets, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and the Paris Agreement on climate change. The OECD advocates an integrated, "whole-of-government" approach that responds to the complex challenges facing the ocean by mobilising expertise across many policy fronts, covering environmental, economic, financial and social dimensions.

Examples of our work, which are detailed further in this brochure, include:

- Valuing and providing projections on the development of the ocean economy
- Promoting the creation of ocean-economy innovation networks
- Helping developing nations harness the benefits of the ocean economy
- Developing and tracking policy and financing approaches for marine conservation and sustainable use
- Supporting governments in increasing recycling rates, curtailing plastics pollution and managing other types of ocean pollution
- Providing guidance on adaptation strategies to respond to rising seas and develop resilient coastal infrastructure
- Identifying and evaluating fisheries support measures
- Tracking policies and practices to deter IUU fishing
- Proposing solutions to decarbonise maritime transport and decrease pollution from shipping



## The ocean economy

Protecting the ocean and its resources is one of the major challenges of the coming decades. By mid-century, the world's population is projected to be at least 9 billion, with corresponding demands for food, jobs, energy, raw materials and economic growth. The potential of the ocean to help meet these requirements is huge, but the ocean is already under stress from overexploitation, pollution, declining biodiversity and climate change. Care needs to be taken to increase the sustainability of the ocean economy while harnessing its benefits.


### What is the ocean economy?

The ocean economy is defined by the OECD as the sum of the economic activities of ocean-based industries, together with the assets, goods and services provided by marine ecosystems (OECD, 2016). These two pillars are interdependent: much activity associated with ocean-based industry is derived from marine ecosystems; and industrial activity often impacts marine ecosystems. This concept of the ocean economy as an interaction between two pillars is depicted in Figure 1.

The interdependency of ocean-based industries and marine ecosystems, combined with increasingly severe threats to the health of the ocean, have led to a growing recognition of the need for an integrated approach to ocean management (OECD, 2016). Several management strategies have been suggested to achieve this, including Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM), Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) and Marine Protected Areas (MPA). An accurate and extensive information base on ocean economic activity, the marine environment and the interactions between the two is crucial to each of these strategies. Greater understanding of the economic value of marine ecosystems could help spur integrated ocean management in support of sustainability goals. The need for this is gaining more attention at national and international levels (OECD, 2019).

Robust data will be fundamental to ensuring ocean-based industries and marine ecosystems are managed in an integrated manner. The OECD, in close co-operation with national and international stakeholders, is currently assessing how to improve the socio-economic evidence on the ocean, building on lessons learned from its initial ocean economy database, developed in the context of an original OECD foresight project on the ocean economy to 2030 (OECD, 2016).

Figure 1. **Today's ocean economy: An interactive, interdependent system**



Source: OECD (2016), *The Ocean Economy to 2030*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264251724-en>.

### How much could the ocean economy grow by 2030?

The OECD projects a marked acceleration in economic activity in the ocean by 2030, based on the rapidly expanding ocean industries combined with expectations of moderate growth in already large industries like maritime and coastal tourism, offshore oil and gas, shipbuilding, and maritime equipment.

By conservative estimates, ocean-based industries' gross value added could double in size by 2030, reaching around USD three trillion – roughly equivalent to the size of the German economy in 2010 (Figure 2). Some ocean industries' value added is set to grow even faster than the world economy. These include marine aquaculture, capture fisheries, fish processing, offshore wind and port activities. Employment in ocean industries as a whole may increase from 31 million in 2010 to 40 million in 2030, roughly the size of Germany's current labour force, thereby outpacing markedly the overall growth rate of the global workforce.

Consequently, pressures on the ocean's natural assets will increase in the coming years, as demand continue to grow for marine sources of food, energy, minerals, leisure pursuits and so on. Similarly, ocean space in many regions of the world risks becoming ever more


crowded as maritime trade, marine aquaculture, ocean renewable energy, marine and coastal tourism, and other activities gather momentum and, by virtue of their growth, generate further demand in related, interconnected ocean-based industries.

A territorial approach to the role of regions and cities can be of particular relevance to assess and analyse specific economic, social and environmental benefits deriving from coastal and ocean development. The development dynamics in regions and cities contributes to the deterioration of coastal and ocean ecosystems. There are several examples of coastal sand mining, coral reef degradation and land and river water based pollution ending up in the ocean, leading to tension between different user groups.

### How can we track ocean economic activity better?

The ocean is already under stress from overexploitation, pollution, declining biodiversity and climate change. Business-as-usual expansion of economic activities in the ocean is not an option for the future, as it would further jeopardise the ocean's health and resources, thereby undermining the very basis on which the ocean industries themselves depend. Realising

Figure 2. **Ocean-based industries' value-added to double** (from USD 1.5 to 3 trillion) by 2030



Source: OECD (2016), *The Ocean Economy to 2030*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264251724-en>.

the full potential of the ocean demands ever more responsible and sustainable approaches to its economic development.

In addition to OECD continuing undertakings in measuring ocean industries and their impacts, the OECD recommends two advances in economic measurement at the national level to provide public authorities further evidential support (OECD 2019):

### 1. Standardising approaches to measuring and valuing ocean industries, and integrating them into national accounting via satellite accounts.

Satellite accounts for the ocean economy would provide a highly organised method for collecting consistent ocean economy data. Building upon existing national- and industry-level data collection efforts, the development of satellite accounts can offer a framework for monitoring aspects of a country's ocean economy not shown in detail in the core national accounts, while allowing for greater flexibility for ocean-based industries not covered by industrial classifications, and enabling, in time, international comparability.

### 2. Measuring and valuing natural marine resources and ecosystem services, while also exploring ways to integrate them into national accounting frameworks

Given the strong interdependency between ocean economic activities and marine ecosystems, a national accounts framework is the best way to integrate the measurement of these two pillars of the ocean economy in a meaningful and policy-relevant way. As the knowledge base on marine ecosystems' accounting builds, more efforts from countries to share experiences will greatly benefit the process of refining both the international environmental accounting guidelines and marine ecosystem services' classifications.

## The Sustainable Ocean Economy database

The OECD is building a comprehensive database on the Sustainable Ocean Economy. Reliable and timely data on the sustainability of the ocean economy, the well-being and resilience of coastal communities and the health of marine ecosystems are scarce. This work will help meet the demands of the international community for a better evidence base to support decision making, including SDG 14.

The **Sustainable Ocean Economy database** will answer the following questions on the measurement of a sustainable use of the ocean:

- Are we becoming more efficient in using marine ecosystem services?
- Is the natural asset base of the ocean being maintained?
- How does a sustainable ocean economy benefit people?
- What are the opportunities arising from promoting a sustainable ocean economy?
- What policy responses are needed to speed up the transition?

Work on the database is in progress, an initial version will be available in Q2-2020.



### KEY PUBLICATIONS

OECD (2019), *Rethinking Innovation for a Sustainable Ocean Economy*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264311053-en>.

OECD (2016), *The Ocean Economy to 2030*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264251724-en>.

### KEY WEBSITES

[www.oecd.org/sti/inno/ocean-economy](http://www.oecd.org/sti/inno/ocean-economy)  
<http://oe.cd/ggi>; <http://oe.cd/env-data>; <http://oe.cd/pine>

### CONTACT

#### The Ocean Economy

Claire Jolly – [Claire.Jolly@oecd.org](mailto:Claire.Jolly@oecd.org)

#### Sustainable Ocean Economy Database

Nathalie Girouard – [nathalie.girouard@oecd.org](mailto:nathalie.girouard@oecd.org)

Ivan Haščič – [ivan.hascic@oecd.org](mailto:ivan.hascic@oecd.org)



Science, technology and innovation will play a growing role in managing the development of the ocean economy in a responsible way.

## Science, technology and innovation for a sustainable ocean economy

Exploitation of the ocean and its resources is more intensive than ever before, raising concerns about its physical capacity to cope. At the same time, scientific understanding of the ocean and its ecosystems – their properties and behaviour, their health and role in weather and climate change – is gradually improving, although many questions remain. To respond effectively to the growing challenges associated with ongoing development of very diverse activities in the ocean, science, technology and innovation (STI) will play a growing role in managing the development of the ocean economy in a responsible way.

### A new STI context for the ocean

The increasing attention to the ocean is occurring at a time when STI activities themselves are undergoing major changes. Galvanised by digitalisation, the transformation of scientific research and innovation processes is speeding up in many parts of the world, in almost all disciplines and sectors of the economy (OECD, 2018). The adoption

of disruptive technologies (e.g. artificial intelligence, big data, blockchain) is affecting academic research areas and business innovation cycles alike. The promotion of collaborative and open innovation is also changing the way researchers are training and working together. At the policy level, a number of national research agendas are increasingly emphasising the need to tackle “grand challenges”, in economic, societal and environmental areas. In some countries, this new focus takes the shape of mission-oriented STI policies, steering the direction of science and technology towards ambitious and socially relevant goals, with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) re-shaping in some cases STI policy agendas.

### The potential for STI to contribute to the sustainable use of the ocean is vast

Science is crucial to achieving global sustainability and adequate stewardship of the ocean, since it provides the ability to deepen our understanding of the ocean’s resources and health, and better monitor and predict changes in its status (OECD, 2016).

Working with the ocean science community, the OECD is demonstrating how sustained ocean observations are an essential part of worldwide efforts to better understand the ocean and its functioning. These observing systems – fixed platforms, autonomous and drifting systems, submersible platforms, ships at sea, and remote observing systems such as satellites and aircraft – use increasingly

efficient technologies and instruments to gather, store, transfer and process large volumes of ocean observation data. The data derived from such instruments are crucial for many different scientific communities and public and commercial users active in the ocean economy. They underpin a range of scientific research and critically support the safe, effective and sustainable use of the ocean environment and its resources. Developing and sustaining them requires significant public investment, the justification for which calls for rigorous assessment of the associated costs and benefits and value to society.

The significance of ocean observations is growing, building on traditional scientific missions to provide evidence and increase our understanding of the ocean. But now these observations also contribute to monitoring the development of ocean economic activities and to improving marine spatial planning. The general public also benefits, becoming both a user of these observations (e.g. tracking algal blooms on beaches) and a provider of data via original citizen science projects.

Many innovations are taking place in small- to large-scale ocean observing systems, and fresh approaches are needed to close gaps in knowledge surrounding the societal impacts of publicly funded ocean observation systems. Possible solutions highlighted by recent OECD work in this area include improved tracking of users (both scientific and operational), the mapping of value chains, and improvements to methodologies through the development of international standards or guidelines to conduct socio-economic assessments (OECD, 2019).

In parallel, a string of enabling technologies promises to stimulate improvements in efficiency, productivity and cost structures in many ocean activities, from scientific research and ecosystem analysis to shipping, energy, fisheries and tourism. These technologies include imaging and physical sensors, satellite technologies, advanced materials, information and communication technology (ICT), big data analytics, autonomous systems, biotechnology, nanotechnology and subsea engineering.

New enabling technologies appear set to contribute in important ways to the sustainable development of the ocean economy, not least by vastly improving data quality, data volumes, connectivity and communication from the depths of the sea, through the water column, and up to the surface for further transmission. Many economies, for example, are using blockchain and big data analytics applications in their port facilities and maritime supply chains. Shipping companies, logistics businesses, port operators and other maritime transport stakeholders are looking to more integrated services across the entire supply chain as a means of generating cost savings, greater efficiencies and improvements in quality of service. The prospects for achieving those benefits by getting the various relevant operations (administration, logistics, shipping, terminal and port) to work together more smoothly have been boosted by the advent of digital platform technologies. This has the potential for greening further some commercial operations, saving energy, fuel in transport and limiting pollution.



## THE QUICK READ: *Rethinking Innovation for a Sustainable Ocean Economy*

New thinking and fresh approaches are required to meet the challenges of developing a truly sustainable ocean economy. This publication, building on the conclusions of the OECD report *The Ocean Economy in 2030*, advances on four objectives:

- Offering a forward-looking perspective on scientific and technological innovation across a range of marine and maritime applications, with a particular focus on some of the innovations already in the pipeline;
- Contributing to the growing body of evidence suggesting that, with the help of innovation, the development of economic activity in the ocean and sustainability of marine ecosystems can often go hand-in-hand with one another, and providing four in-depth case studies that illustrate the potential for generating such outcomes;
- Investigating the emergence of new forms of collaboration in the ocean economy among research communities in the public

sector, the academic world and a diverse range of private-sector stakeholders, using the example of innovation networks that have sprung up in recent years around the world;

- Highlighting new approaches to measuring the ocean economy, notably by exploring the use of satellite accounts for its twin pillars – ocean-based economic activities and marine ecosystem services – and by examining ways to better measure the benefits that sustained ocean observations provide not only to science, but also to the economy and society more generally.

The original analyses conducted in this work recommend three priority areas for action: 1) encourage innovation that produces win-win outcomes for ocean business and the ocean environment; 2) seek ways to nourish the vitality of ocean economy innovation networks; and 3) support new initiatives to improve measurement of the ocean economy.

## Scientific discovery and innovation fostered by new ways of collaborating

Scientific discovery and successful innovation often require fresh thinking in the organisation and structure of the research process itself. The OECD is exploring ocean economy knowledge and innovation networks that bring together a diversity of players (public research institutes, large enterprises, small- and medium-sized enterprises, universities etc.). These flexibly-organised groups work on a range of scientific and technological innovations in many different sectors of the ocean economy (e.g. marine robotics and autonomous vehicles, aquaculture, marine renewable energy, biotechnologies, and offshore oil and gas). Such research and industry networks are springing up in many parts of the world in response to changes in the national and international ocean research environment, and leveraging their organisational and skill diversity to benefit their partners and research in the ocean economy more generally.

## Exploring further how STI will contribute to sustainable ocean activities

As the launch of the United Nations' Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (2021-2030) approaches, there will be opportunities to work collectively

on bringing evidence on the different types of impacts from sustained investments in ocean science and innovation. In view of the many new challenges to come in developing sound and sustainable ocean management strategies, it will also be beneficial for decision-makers, in both public and private spheres, to identify and map further the innovations and co-operation mechanisms that have the potential to foster ocean economic activity, and have positive impacts on and beyond the marine environment. The OECD stands ready to continue supporting the ocean community and beyond in these endeavours.

### KEY PUBLICATIONS

OECD (2019), *Rethinking Innovation for a Sustainable Ocean Economy*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264311053-en>.

OECD (2018), *OECD Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2018: Adapting to Technological and Societal Disruption*, OECD Publishing, Paris, [https://doi.org/10.1787/sti\\_in\\_outlook-2018-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/sti_in_outlook-2018-en).

OECD (2016), *The Ocean Economy to 2030*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264251724-en>.

### KEY WEBSITE

[www.oecd.org/sti/inno/ocean-economy](http://www.oecd.org/sti/inno/ocean-economy)

### CONTACT

Claire Jolly – [Claire.Jolly@oecd.org](mailto:Claire.Jolly@oecd.org)



For many developing countries, ocean-based industries represent critical sources of employment and income. Fish and fish products account for up to 80% of GDP in Small Island Developing States in the Pacific, and tourism for about 20%.

## Ocean economy and developing countries

More than three billion people rely on the ocean for their livelihoods, the vast majority in developing countries. For many of these countries, ocean-based industries are important drivers of economic development, representing critical sources of employment and income. Fish and fish products account for up to 80% of GDP in Small Island Developing States (SIDS) in the Pacific, and tourism for about 20%. With the global ocean economy projected to double in size by 2030 (OECD, 2016), great untapped opportunities to increase economic benefits exist for countries around the world, especially for developing countries. Expanding existing ocean-based sectors and investing in new sectors, such as offshore renewable energies and marine biotechnologies, could significantly help developing countries boost energy, food, and infrastructure and tackle critical challenges such as poverty, high unemployment, and food insecurity.

Pressures on the ocean and the ecosystem services it provides, however, are mounting rapidly, and undermine its ability to support long-term sustainable development. In many developing countries, ocean-related sectors have expanded with no sufficient consideration for environmental and social sustainability. This puts at risk the natural resources on which these sectors depend and the future

socio-economic benefits that they can deliver. Many developing countries are also at the forefront of the impacts of climate change and ocean pollution. These are rapidly deteriorating the marine ecosystems these countries depend upon. The poorest segments of their populations suffer the most. Therefore, developing a sustainable ocean economy is critical to preserve the health of the ocean and ensure future prosperity. It entails achieving sustainability across existing and new economic, social and environmental sectors.

Developing a sustainable ocean economy requires access to adequate knowledge, innovations, capacity and financial resources, which developing countries often lack. The international community can play a pivotal role by helping developing countries adopt adequate regulations and policies, generate expertise and technical capacities, and access the resources required for a strategic approach to a sustainable ocean economy.

### The potential of the ocean economy is particularly important for SIDS

SIDS face unique development challenges due to their small populations, remoteness, and exposure to natural disasters and climate change, which result in small production bases, high perceived investment risks, and limited economic growth prospects. Although SIDS have limited land areas, they possess some of the world's largest economic exclusion zones, which often exceed their land area by multiple times (with a high of 28 838 times for Tuvalu). For SIDS, the greatest scope for

economic diversification and expansion lies within the vast oceans surrounding them.


SIDS are taking significant steps to embark on sustainable ocean economies. They are developing national strategies and setting-up institutional arrangements. They are experimenting with pioneering financial innovations, such as the first blue bond issued by the Government of Seychelles, which has the backing of official guarantees and grant finance. While these are positive innovations, overall financing will need to be scaled up and be part of an integrated and strategic approach. For SIDS, who disproportionately suffer from the impacts of climate change and intensified natural disasters, building resilience to climate and natural disasters will be a critical component of the sustainable ocean economy. Development co-operation and Official Development Assistance (ODA) can play a critical role in this regard, but at present current ODA spending does not appropriately reflect the importance of the sustainable ocean economy. Of the USD 18.8 billion in concessional finance channelled to SIDS in 2012-15, only approximately USD 1.15 billion (6.11%) went to sectors relating to the ocean economy.

**How can we help developing countries benefit from the sustainable ocean economy?**

The new OECD project “Sustainable Ocean Economy for All: Harnessing the benefits of the sustainable ocean economy for developing countries” draws on OECD expertise in science technology and innovation, environmental protection and biodiversity, development finance and international co-operation. It offers new evidence and a policy space for the urgent global challenge of developing sustainable ocean economies worldwide.

This work is an initial step designed to help developing countries address pressures on oceans and marine ecosystem services (e.g. pollution, over-fishing, climate change, habitat degradation) and chart a new course for tackling poverty, unemployment and food insecurity through sustainable development. It expands developing countries’ access to the knowledge, innovations, and financial resources needed for promoting a sustainable ocean economy through original research and policy dialogue.

**Figure 3. Tourism represents about 20% of GDP for almost two-thirds of Small Island Developing States**




Source: Making Development Co-operation Work for Small Island Developing States (OECD, 2017). Adapted from UN World Tourism Organization (2016), Statistics database [www2.unwto.org/content/data](http://www2.unwto.org/content/data).

Deliverables for the “Sustainable Ocean Economy for All: Harnessing the benefits of the sustainable ocean economy for developing countries” project throughout 2019-20 include:

- A new OECD report with original analytical work on the global trends of the sustainable ocean economy across developing countries and dedicated policy recommendations;
- The first set of OECD multi-disciplinary country diagnostics on the ocean economy for selected developing countries;
- A new set of quantitative and qualitative indicators and policy recommendations to guide decision-makers in developing countries and donor countries alike in support of the development of sustainable ocean economies;
- A series of international workshops to promote mutual learning within ocean-related communities and across stakeholder groups – ministries, agencies, academia, foundations, NGOs and the private sector.




Figure 4. **Developing countries with the largest marine area under national jurisdictions**



Source: based on statistical data from [www.searoundus.org/data/#/eez](http://www.searoundus.org/data/#/eez)

Figure 5. **Countries where national waters exceed land area**



Source: based on statistical data from [www.seaaroundus.org/data/#/eez](http://www.seaaroundus.org/data/#/eez)

#### KEY PUBLICATIONS

OECD (2018), *Mainstreaming Biodiversity for Sustainable Development*, OECD Publishing, France. [including in the fisheries sector]

OECD (2018), *Making Development Co-operation Work for Small Island Developing States*, OECD Publishing, Paris.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264287648-en>

OECD (2017), *Marine Protected Areas: Economics, Management, Effective Policy Mixes*, OECD Publishing, France.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264276208-en>

OECD (2017), "Greening the Ocean Economy", Summary report of the Green Growth and Sustainable Development Forum, Paris 21-22 November.

OECD (2017), *The Political Economy of Biodiversity Policy Reform*, OECD Publishing Paris.

OECD (2016), *The Ocean Economy in 2030*, OECD Publishing, Paris.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264251724-en>

OECD (2016), *Climate and Disaster Resilience Financing for Small Island Developing States*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

OECD/The World Bank (2016), *Climate and Disaster Resilience Financing in Small Island Developing States*, OECD Publishing, Paris,  
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264266919-en>.

#### KEY WEBSITES

[www.oecd.org/dac/financing-sustainable-development/development-finance-topics/small-island-developing-states.htm](http://www.oecd.org/dac/financing-sustainable-development/development-finance-topics/small-island-developing-states.htm)

[www.oecd.org/futures/oceaneconomy.htm](http://www.oecd.org/futures/oceaneconomy.htm)

[www.oecd.org/environment/resources/biodiversity/](http://www.oecd.org/environment/resources/biodiversity/)

#### CONTACT

Piera Tortora – [Piera.tortora@oecd.org](mailto:Piera.tortora@oecd.org)

## Ocean conservation and sustainable use

Healthy oceans and marine ecosystem services underpin the ocean economy, and provide critical support functions upon which human health and well-being depend. The multiple benefits that can be derived from healthy and resilient marine ecosystems are local, regional and global in scale, and range from coastal and habitat protection to climate mitigation and food provisioning. Coral ecosystems alone have been estimated to provide the world economy with an average annual value of USD 172 billion. This value is based on ecosystem services including food and raw materials, moderation of extreme ocean events, water purification, recreation, tourism, and maintenance of biodiversity.

### The pace of policy action is not keeping up with the pressures on our ocean

Enhanced action is needed to conserve and sustainably use our oceans and marine resources, which are under severe pressure from human activities, notably over-exploitation of fish and other marine resources, habitat destruction, invasive alien species, pollution and climate change (OECD,


2017a). Governments have a key role to play in putting in place an effective and coherent policy mix to ensure the achievement of SDG 14, to conserve and sustainably use our oceans, seas, and marine resources, and the relevant 2011-2020 Aichi Biodiversity Targets under the Convention on Biological Diversity. Relevant policy instruments include regulatory, economic, and information and voluntary approaches (Table 1). The pace of policy action is not keeping up with the pressures on oceans, however.

Marine protected areas (MPAs), one of the more traditional policy instruments for marine conservation and sustainable use, have been expanded in recent years and are one of the few Aichi and SDG 14 targets that are on track to be met by 2020. The extent to which they cover the full spectrum of marine life is still weak, however, and management effectiveness often poor (OECD, 2017a). MPAs must also be better integrated into emerging marine spatial planning instruments to increase effectiveness (OECD, 2017b), and complemented with a robust mix of other policy instruments to address the multiple pressures on the ocean. *Marine Protected Areas: Economics, Management and Effective Policy Mixes* (OECD 2017a) examines the evidence on the costs and benefits of MPAs and presents good practice insights on how to enhance the environmental and cost effectiveness of MPAs, and to scale up finance.

Enhanced action is needed to conserve and sustainably use our oceans and marine resources, which are under severe pressure from human activities



Figure 6. The untapped potential of economic policy instruments for biodiversity



Source: OECD PINE database – see OECD (2018), *Tracking Economic Instruments and Finance for Biodiversity*.

### Mainstreaming biodiversity through effective sectoral policies

Mainstreaming marine conservation and sustainable use across all sectors of the ocean economy is vital to ensuring the sustainability of the ocean economy. This is best brought about through better understanding of the benefits provided by ocean and marine ecosystems, including their values. Marine ecosystems considerations must then be mainstreamed in national development strategies, marine spatial planning policies and fisheries plans, among others. Effective policies must be put in place to ensure externalities are addressed, and that robust monitoring and evaluation of mainstreaming occurs over time. *Mainstreaming Biodiversity for Sustainable Development* (OECD, 2018a) draws on experiences and insights from 16 predominantly megadiverse countries to examine how biodiversity is being mainstreamed at the national level in agriculture, forestry and fisheries sectors and in development co-operation. It also considers the monitoring and evaluation of biodiversity mainstreaming and how this could be improved. Key messages from the report include the need to establish a strong social and business case for biodiversity; to develop monitoring and evaluation systems for mainstreaming; and to align policies across biodiversity and the different sectors.

### Overcoming and avoiding political obstacles to policy reform

Political economy issues such as competitiveness concerns, distributional implications and vested interests can act as a brake on policy reform. This makes the study of barriers to effective marine policy reform and how they might be overcome vital. *The Political Economy of Biodiversity Policy Reform* (OECD, 2017c) provides insights on the types of obstacles that have been encountered in designing and implementing effective marine and terrestrial biodiversity policy reform. Drawing on recent examples of relevant policy reforms in a number of countries, the publication provides key messages on how these obstacles can be overcome, including building alliances between economic and environmental interests, devising targeted measures to address potential impacts on competitiveness and income distribution, and building a robust evidence base to support reform and provide resistance to pressure from vested interests.

Recent OECD work has also examined biodiversity-relevant economic instruments that governments have put in place, covering terrestrial and marine biodiversity-relevant taxes, fees and charges, subsidies, and tradable permit schemes. The information is derived from the OECD Policy Instruments for the

Environment (PINE) database, to which more than 100 countries currently contribute (Figure 6). New work is now underway to tag policy instruments that are specifically relevant to marine biodiversity as compared to terrestrial biodiversity. This should make it easier to distinguish clearly which of the policy instruments are relevant to SDG 14 (Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources) as compared to SDG 15 (Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss).

### Evaluating and improving marine biodiversity policies

While scaling up policy instruments for ocean conservation and sustainable use is crucial in order to achieve SDG 14 and related goals, further effort is also needed to evaluate the effectiveness of existing policy instruments, and how they can be improved. A recent inventory of rigorous impact evaluation studies identifies 80 studies that examine how biodiversity policies have fared. However, less than a handful of these focussed on marine biodiversity as opposed to terrestrial biodiversity issues (Karousakis, 2018). A key message from the report is that governments could endeavour to develop a strategic approach to scale up impact evaluation (and cost-effectiveness analysis) studies so as to build a stronger evidence base for more environmentally- and cost-effective biodiversity policy instruments. This could include considerations of geographic representability, ensuring a good balance between different policy instruments and terrestrial and ocean/marine ecosystems, and ideally prioritising larger initiatives.

To support development of the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, the OECD is undertaking work on biodiversity targets and indicators and their measurability implications at the global and national levels, relevant to both SDG 14 and SDG 15. The analysis is examining how the post-2020 biodiversity framework could be designed to be more specific and measurable, along the pressure-state-response target and indicator framework, and includes how to better monitor progress towards biodiversity mainstreaming. Other OECD work currently underway is on developing guidance to identify and assess subsidies harmful to biodiversity at the national level.

### KEY PUBLICATIONS

Karousakis, K. (2018), "Evaluating the effectiveness of policy instruments for biodiversity: Impact evaluation, cost-effectiveness analysis and other approaches", *OECD Environment Working Papers*, No. 141, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/ff87fd8d-en>.

OECD (2018a), *Mainstreaming Biodiversity for Sustainable Development*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264303201-en>.

OECD (2018b), "Tracking Economic Instruments and Finance for Biodiversity 2018", <http://oe.cd/econ-instruments4biodiversity-2018>

OECD (2017a), *Marine Protected Areas: Economics, Management and Effective Policy Mixes*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264276208-en>.

OECD (2017b), "Marine Spatial Planning: Assessing net benefits and improving effectiveness", *Green Growth and Sustainable Development Issue Paper*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

OECD (2017c), *The Political Economy of Biodiversity Policy Reform*, OECD Publishing Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264269545-en>.


### KEY WEBSITES

<http://oe.cd/biodiversity>

<http://oe.cd/post-2020-biodiversity-workshop>

### CONTACT

Katia Karousakis – [katia.karousakis@oecd.org](mailto:katia.karousakis@oecd.org)



**Table 1. Examples of policy instruments for marine conservation and sustainable use**

Regulatory instruments (i.e. command-and-control)	Economic instruments	Information and voluntary approaches
Marine protected areas	Taxes, charges, user fees (e.g. entrance fees to marine parks)	Certification, eco-labelling
Marine spatial planning and multi-annual management plans	Rights based management systems (e.g. individually transferable quotas for fisheries)	Voluntary agreements, including public-private partnerships (which can include, for example, voluntary biodiversity offset schemes)
Spatial and temporal fishing closures; bans and standards on fishing gear; limits on number and size of vessels; other restrictions or prohibitions on use (e.g. CITES)	Subsidies to promote biodiversity – and the reform of environmentally harmful subsidies	
Catch limits or quotas (output controls)	Payments for ecosystem services (PES)	
Standards (e.g. MARPOL for ships); bans on dynamite fishing	Biodiversity offsets	
Licenses (e.g. aquaculture)	Non-compliance penalties	
Planning requirements (e.g. environmental impact assessments and strategic environmental assessments)	Fines on damages	

Note: CITES: Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species; MARPOL: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships ("marine pollution").

Source: Adapted from OECD (2017a), *Marine Protected Areas: Economics, Management and Effective Policy Mixes*.



Mainstreaming marine conservation and sustainable use across all sectors of the ocean economy is vital to ensuring the sustainability of the ocean economy.

## Ocean pollution


The oceans are under increasing stress from multiple urban and rural sources of pollution, notably solid and liquid wastes from cities, fertiliser and manure run-off from farming, air emissions from shipping, and incorrect disposal of plastic from land-based and sea-based sources.

### Eutrophication and ocean dead zones – a growing global challenge

Globally, one of the most prevalent water quality challenges is eutrophication; a form of water pollution caused by excess use of nutrients (nitrogen and

phosphorous). Eutrophication can trigger toxic algal blooms and cause “dead zones” (oxygen depletion) in the ocean and coastal waters leading to significant loss of marine biodiversity. Excessive nitrogen in the environment also contributes to climate change, depletion of the ozone layer, air pollution, nitrate toxicity in groundwater and drinking water, loss of biodiversity and deterioration of soil quality.

Figure 7 shows coastal and ocean sites where anthropogenic nutrients, such as nitrogen from fertilisers and livestock manure, have exacerbated or caused low oxygen levels (shaded blue areas), leading to the formation of dead zones (red dots). The number and size of ocean dead zones has doubled each decade since the 1960s, mostly due to nutrient pollution from agriculture.



The number and size of ocean dead zones has doubled each decade since the 1960s, mostly due to nutrient pollution from agriculture.

## Keep it clean – managing and preventing water pollution risk


Improving water quality from source-to-sea requires managing both point and diffuse sources of pollution. The distinction between the two sources is an important function of water quality policy and pollution regulation. One of the main policy challenges facing OECD countries is the effective management of diffuse sources of nutrient pollution, which are caused by activities that have no specific point of discharge, and are often linked to agricultural and urban pollution via overland flow to surface waters. For example, eutrophication is largely attributed to diffuse pollution of nutrients from agricultural activities; in Ireland, Denmark and New Zealand, around 80% of nutrient pollution is from diffuse agricultural sources. Unless attention is turned to these diffuse sources, further deterioration of water quality and the ocean can be expected as human populations grow, industrial and agricultural production intensifies, and climate change causes significant alteration to the hydrological cycle.

Limiting diffuse water pollution within acceptable boundaries is essential. An optimal strategy will likely entail a mix of policy interventions reflecting the basic OECD principles of water quality management – pollution prevention, treatment at source, the polluter

pays and beneficiary pays principles, equity, and policy coherence. While water quality goals are obviously at the core of a policy response, many other sectoral policy frameworks need to be aligned if efforts to reduce the costs of diffuse pollution are to be fruitful. *Diffuse Pollution, Degraded Waters: Emerging Policy Solutions* (OECD, 2017) and *Human Acceleration of the Nitrogen Cycle: Managing Risks and Uncertainty*, (OECD, 2018) examine the risks and provide a combination of policy recommendations and measures to tackle this growing global challenge.

A three-pronged approach is called for to tackle nitrogen pollution: 1) in the context of air, water and biodiversity policies, manage the risks of local pollution by better understanding the nitrogen pathways between sources and impact (the “spatially targeted risk approach”); 2) in the context of climate change mitigation and ozone layer protection policies, take into account global atmospheric concentrations of nitrous oxide (the “global risk approach”); and 3) monitor remaining nitrogen surplus (through a national nitrogen balance) and assess the most cost effective ways of maintaining it at a level acceptable to society (the “precautionary approach”). Economic instruments, such as pollution charges or tradable entitlements, are an underutilised means of increasing the cost effectiveness of pollution control strategies while simultaneously promoting innovation.

Figure 7. **Global eutrophication and ocean dead zones**



Source: Breitburg, D. et al (2018), “Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters”, *Science*, 5 January, <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/359/6371/eaam7240>.

### Substantial environmental impacts from shipping

---

Global shipping is responsible for approximately 30% of total global nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions. These emissions have been linked to thousands of premature deaths in coastal areas. Ships account for approximately 2.5% of total global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Other environmental impacts from shipping include biodiversity impacts related to ballast water and the effect of noise pollution on ocean wildlife. Global policy efforts have recently focused on sulphur and GHG emissions from ships and ballast water management, but efforts could be intensified with respect to NOx and particulate matter (PM) emissions.

The International Transport Forum (ITF) at the OECD has conducted various studies that aim to provide policy-makers with tools to reduce air emissions from shipping. A list of these studies are in the Ocean shipping and shipbuilding section of this brochure.

### Pervasive plastic debris negatively affects ocean ecosystems

---

Plastic is present in all the world's ocean basins, including around remote islands, the poles and in the deep seas. Up to 13 million tonnes are estimated to be introduced to

the oceans each year (Jambeck et al., 2015). And plastic that accumulates in the natural environment will only decompose over hundreds, or even thousands of years.

Approximately 80% of marine plastic debris originates from land-based sources and is transported to the ocean through rivers, with the remaining share of debris coming from fishing activities, natural disasters and other sources (Jambeck et al., 2015). Marine debris encompasses large objects, microplastics and nanoplastics. It affects the environment and marine ecosystems through, for example, ingestion or entanglement by species who live in them. Microplastics – which are estimated to represent 15% of the weight of mismanaged plastics waste (IUCN, 2017) – may travel up the food chain and pose potential risks to human health once ingested. Marine litter also leads to a range of socioeconomic impacts to tourism, fishing and aquaculture, and shipping (UN Environment, 2017).

### Policy efforts should focus on curbing the plastics inflow into the ocean

---

The removal of plastic debris from open ocean environments is at best, difficult and costly for floating debris, and at worst, infeasible or impossible for microplastics, and plastic within the water column



Only **14-18%**  
of global plastics waste is collected  
for recycling

and seabed sediment. The most effective mitigation strategies must focus on reducing the inflow of plastics into the marine environment. This requires a two-pronged approach to tackle plastics litter originating from both land-based and sea-based sources:


- **Plastics waste prevention** can be achieved through leveraging incentives along the value chain, and by addressing negative externalities associated with plastics production upstream, and plastics waste generation and littering downstream. Policy interventions to foster plastic waste prevention include the introduction of price signals (e.g. through taxes on disposable plastic goods or deposit-refund schemes for reusable packaging), product bans for particularly harmful products which are prone to leakage but unlikely to be collected and recycled (e.g. microbeads), product durability standards and consumer education campaigns. Particular attention should be paid to short-lived products such as single-use plastic goods.
- **Improvement of waste management systems to ensure adequate end-of-life treatment of plastic waste:** an estimated 14 to 18% of waste plastics

generated globally are collected for recycling and 24% is incinerated. The remainder is disposed of in landfills, via open burning or uncontrolled dumping, or released to the wider environment. Strengthening waste collection, recycling and disposal systems, such as through the introduction of extended producer responsibility, can increase recycling rates of plastics, stopping the discharge of plastic debris into the ocean. In developing countries, official development assistance can be used to support the creation and operation of effective collection systems and waste-treatment infrastructure.

The OECD focuses on both approaches. The report *Improving Markets for Recycled Plastics: Trends, Prospects and Policy Responses* (OECD, 2018) discusses the reasons behind low recycling rates of plastics and policy approaches to improve them, including measures to create a separate market for recycled plastics where its price is decoupled from virgin plastics, and ways to increase the quantity and quality of recovered plastics.

The 2018 OECD *Global Forum on Environment*, addressed the sustainable design of plastics, with a focus on the criteria that define sustainable plastics, the tools

Figure 8. **Global primary plastics production by sector, 1950–2015** (million tonnes)



Source: Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). *Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made*, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782>

available to designers, and the policies that can help to incentivise their design. OECD reports *Water Governance in Cities* (OECD, 2016) and *Water and Cities: Ensuring Sustainable Futures* (OECD, 2015) explore policy responses at the central and local government levels. They focus on mutually dependent dimensions of governance, finance, innovation and urban-rural linkages.

Current OECD work to tackle the marine plastic problem focusses on the design of policies to reduce specific types of products or waste streams, for example single-use plastics and microplastics. The OECD examines policies already in place and analyses their environmental, behavioural and economic impact. In the field of sustainable plastics design, work is ongoing

to develop a set of criteria for the design of sustainable plastics from a chemicals perspective. Additional work will investigate how specific policy approaches such as extended producer responsibility can support a shift to sustainable plastics design.

By moving from a linear to a circular economy, governments, cities and regions can greatly contribute to reducing plastic in the world's oceans.

### REFERENCES

IUCN (2017), *Primary Microplastics in the Oceans: A Global Evaluation of Sources*, <https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2017-002.pdf>.

Jambeck, J. R. et al., (2015), "Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean", *Science*, 347(6223), 768–771. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352>

UN Environment (2017), "Marine Litter Socio-Economic Study", United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya.

WEF (2016), "The New Plastics Economy: Rethinking the future of plastics", World Economic Forum.

### KEY PUBLICATIONS

OECD (2018), *Human Acceleration of the Nitrogen Cycle: Managing Risks and Uncertainty*, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264307438-en>.

OECD (2018), *Improving Markets for Recycled Plastics: Trends, Prospects and Policy Responses*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264301016-en>.

OECD (2018), *Meeting report of the Global Forum on Environment: Plastics in a circular economy – Design of Sustainable Plastics from a Chemicals Perspective*, ENV/EPOC/WPRPW/JM(2018)1/FINAL, Environment Directorate.

OECD (2018), "Considerations and Criteria for Sustainable Plastics from a Chemicals Perspective", Background paper for the 2018 OECD Global Forum on Environment.

OECD (2018), "Technical Tools and Approaches in the Design of Sustainable Plastics", Background paper for the 2018 OECD Global Forum on Environment.

OECD (2018), "Policy Approaches to Incentivise Sustainable Plastic Design", Background paper for the 2018 OECD Global Forum on Environment.

OECD (2017), *Diffuse Pollution, Degraded Waters: Emerging Policy Solutions*, OECD Publishing, Paris. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264269064-en>.

ITF (2018), "Decarbonising Maritime Transport: Pathways to zero-carbon shipping by 2035", International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), "Decarbonising Maritime Transport: The case of Sweden", International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), "Reducing Shipping GHG Emissions: Lessons from port-based incentives", International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2016), "Reducing Sulphur Emissions from Ships: The impact of international regulation", International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2014), "Shipping Emissions in Ports", International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

### KEY WEBSITES

<http://www.oecd.org/water/water-quantity-and-quality.htm>

<http://www.oecd.org/environment/waste/>

<https://www.itf-oecd.org/maritime>

### CONTACTS

#### Resource productivity and waste

Peter Börkey – [Peter.Borkey@oecd.org](mailto:Peter.Borkey@oecd.org)

#### Water quality

Hannah Leckie – [Hannah.Leckie@oecd.org](mailto:Hannah.Leckie@oecd.org)

#### Maritime transport

Olaf Merk – [olaf.merk@itf-oecd.org](mailto:olaf.merk@itf-oecd.org)



## The ocean and climate change

The ocean regulates the global climate by mediating temperature and determining rainfall, droughts and floods. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (2013) found that the ocean has already absorbed over 90% of the excess heat trapped by the rising concentration of greenhouse gases. While the ocean's ability to store heat has slowed global warming, this in turn is changing the ocean's chemistry. The complex interactions between continued emissions of greenhouse gases and changes in the ability of the ocean to store excess heat will be a major determinant of the speed and magnitude of long-term climate change impacts, with global economic implications.

### The ocean and coastal communities at the front lines of climate change

The ocean and coastal communities are being disproportionately impacted by increasing greenhouse gas emissions. Warming is leading to the melting of inland glaciers and ice, causing rising sea levels with significant impacts on coastal areas such as coastal flooding and erosion, saltwater intrusion, and habitat destruction. Communities and infrastructure are already

under pressure from coastal flooding and erosion. Without adaptation, flood damage under high-end sea-level rise (1.3 metres) would be approximately 4% of world GDP annually (Figure 9). Marine ecosystems are similarly being severely impacted. The *Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C* (IPCC, 2018) found coral reefs were likely to decline between 70% and 90% if the temperature increased to 1.5°C. If global warming reaches 2°C, more than 99% of coral reefs were projected to decline.

### A decisive transition is needed, and delaying climate action will increase costs

The report *Investing in Climate, Investing in Growth* (OECD, 2017) shows how governments can not only build strong economic growth but also limit future climate damages if they collectively act for a “decisive transition” by combining consistent, growth-enhancing policies with well-aligned policy packages for mobilising investment in low-carbon, climate-resilient infrastructures and technologies.

There are significant costs associated with delaying action to reduce emissions. If more stringent policies are introduced later, they will affect a larger stock of high-carbon infrastructure built in the intervening years, leading to higher levels of stranded assets across the economy. Investment in modern, smart and clean infrastructure in the next decade is hence a critical



Without adaptation, flood damage under high-end sea-level rise (1.3 metres) would be approximately 4% of world GDP annually (USD 50 trillion annually). Adaptation measures have the ability to reduce these costs by 2-3 orders of magnitude.


factor for the low carbon transition and sustainable economic growth. *Financing Climate Futures: Rethinking Infrastructure* (OECD/The World Bank/UN Environment, 2018) focuses on how governments can more effectively align financial flows with climate and development priorities and move beyond the current incremental approach to climate action across planning, innovation, public budgeting, financial systems, development finance and cities.

### How can countries increase ambition?

Efforts to mitigate climate change are likely to be more successful and less costly when climate action and broader efforts towards human well-being and sustainable development are mutually supportive. The forthcoming report *Climate Change Mitigation Through a Well-Being Lens* (OECD, 2019) argues that adopting a well-being lens will achieve multiple objectives by making

Figure 9. **Sea-level scenarios to 2100**

All sea-level rise values shown are with respect to mean sea-level in the 1985-2005 reference period



Source: Lincke and Hinkel, 2018

potential synergies and trade-offs between climate change mitigation and other well-being objectives systematically visible (e.g. synergies between mitigation and sustainably managing marine ecosystems). It also contends that rethinking policy objectives and the measures for success used for tracking progress and guiding decisions across the economy will be key, and it provides explicit examples of how these can be done. The report covers the energy, industry, residential, transport and agriculture sectors. It examines specific policies for mitigating climate change and how these can be implemented to increase synergies and minimise trade-offs with other well-being goals.

### The need for resilient infrastructure

Infrastructure networks will be affected by the physical impacts of climate variability and change, such as increased coastal flooding. They will also play an essential role in building resilience to those impacts. New infrastructure assets should be prioritised, planned, designed, built and operated to account for the climate changes that may occur over their lifetimes. Existing infrastructure may need to be retrofitted, or managed differently, because of climate change. The policy paper “Climate Resilient Infrastructure” (OECD, 2018) outlines the co-ordinated response needed to ensure that new and existing infrastructure networks are resilient to climate change impacts.

In addition to making infrastructure resilient to change, additional infrastructure, such as sea walls, will need to be constructed to address the physical impacts of climate change. Coastal protection can reduce the future costs of sea-level rise by 2-3 orders of magnitude. However, in the context of rising risks, there is a need to move to a flexible, forward-looking approach to resilience. This includes integrating hard infrastructure with nature-based solutions (e.g. protection or restoration of coastal ecosystems), new technologies for accommodating flood risk (e.g. permeable pavement), and potential managed retreat in some areas. The policy paper “Innovative Approaches to Building Resilient Coastal Infrastructure” (OECD, 2018) explores ways governments can harness innovation in information, planning, financing and monitoring to help improve the resilience of coastal areas to climate change, and emphasises the need for close engagement with coastal communities.

### Responding to rising seas

There is an urgent need to ensure that coastal communities are adapting to the impacts of rising seas. *Responding to Rising Seas: OECD Country Approaches to Tackling Coastal Risks* (OECD, 2019) reviews how OECD countries can use their national adaptation planning processes to respond to this challenge. Specifically, the report examines how countries approach shared costs and responsibilities for coastal risk management and how this encourages or hinders risk-reduction behaviour by households, businesses and different levels of government. The report outlines policy tools that national governments can use to encourage an efficient, effective and equitable response to ongoing coastal change, such as land-use regulation that includes sea-level rise considerations. It is informed by new analysis on the future costs of sea-level rise, and the main findings from four case studies (Canada, Germany, New Zealand and the United Kingdom).

#### KEY PUBLICATIONS

OECD (2019) *Climate Change Mitigation Through a Well-Being Lens*, forthcoming.

OECD (2019), *Responding to Rising Seas: OECD Country Approaches to Tackling Coastal Risks*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264312487-en>.

OECD (2018), “Climate-Resilient Infrastructure”, *OECD Environment Policy Papers*, No. 14, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/4fdf9eaf-en>.

OECD (2018), “Innovative Approaches to Building Resilient Coastal Infrastructure”, *OECD Environment Policy Papers*, No. 13, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9c8a13a0-en>.

OECD (2017), *Investing in Climate, Investing in Growth*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264273528-en>.

OECD/The World Bank/UN Environment (2018), *Financing Climate Futures: Rethinking Infrastructure*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264308114-en>.

#### KEY WEBSITE

[www.oecd.org/env/cc/adaptation.htm](http://www.oecd.org/env/cc/adaptation.htm)

#### CONTACT

Catherine Gamper – [Catherine.Gamper@oecd.org](mailto:Catherine.Gamper@oecd.org)

Better policies are needed to make fisheries and aquaculture production more sustainable and the millions of people who depend on them for food and jobs more resilient, particularly in the context of climate change.



## Sustainable and resilient fisheries and aquaculture

Fisheries and aquaculture play a key role in the nutrition of hundreds of millions of people around the world every day. Following the widespread expansion of aquaculture production, particularly in Asia, nearly 60 million people work in the sector today, almost twice as many as in the early 1990s. In the OECD, over the last two decades, total seafood output value has increased by about a third, predominantly driven by strong growth in the value of aquaculture. Over the same period, the volume of wild fish harvests fell by about 30% because of declining stocks and fishing policies aimed at exploiting them more sustainably (Figure 10).


However, climate change, fishing pressure and pollution from various human activities are causing ocean

acidification and declining biodiversity. Global fisheries could generate billions more in benefits if they were better managed. A significant share of the losses due to mismanagement is estimated to be caused by illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing. A key obstacle to restoring the sustainability of resources globally, IUU fishing reduces the resources available to legal fishers, undermines governments' capacity to manage fish stocks sustainably and reduces public revenue.

### OECD promotes better policies to ensure the future of seafood production and those who depend on it

Governments are increasingly aware of the shortcomings of fisheries and aquaculture management frameworks, and that smarter regulations and new technologies are needed if sustainability and productivity are to be improved. However, achieving reform in fisheries and aquaculture policy can be difficult as a broad range of interests are at stake and it is often difficult and expensive to collect data on marine resources and ecosystems.

Figure 10. Fisheries and aquaculture production in OECD countries, 1995-2015



Source: OECD (2017), *OECD Review of Fisheries: Policies and Summary Statistics 2017*, OECD Publishing, Paris, [https://doi.org/10.1787/rev\\_fish\\_stat\\_en-2017-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/rev_fish_stat_en-2017-en).

The OECD helps governments establish good policies and governance to achieve environmentally sound fisheries and sustainable aquaculture that support resilient communities, provide quality food and secure livelihoods. Our work contributes to a more robust evidence base for policy making and promotes a dialogue among and between authorities in charge of fisheries and aquaculture policies in OECD member countries and beyond.


### Encouraging the use of smart support policies

Recent work encourages dialogue on government support to the fisheries sector using the (FSE) database, which measures fisheries support policies in a consistent and transparent way across all OECD member countries and important non-member fishing economies. The FSE database provides a basis for users to investigate the impacts of fisheries support policies on resources and ecosystems as well as on jobs, incomes and value creation with a view to adjust policies to better deliver the goals they were designed to meet. The recent report *Relative Effects of Fisheries Support Policies* suggests ways to better align the choice of support policies with stated objectives for the sector while minimising their impact on resources. This work also provides key insight to the World Trade Organization negotiations on fisheries subsidies and will feed into the monitoring of United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 14 objectives.

### Implementing strong frameworks to tackle illegal fishing

Two recent studies by the OECD, “Closing gaps in national regulations against IUU fishing” and “Intensifying the fight against IUU fishing at the regional level” help countries identify how to better address IUU fishing. With a suite of indicators, the reports investigate the extent to which governments meet their responsibilities in the fight against IUU fishing, and the extent to which Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs) use best practices to support their member countries. Results show considerable progress in improving regulatory frameworks and enforcing legislation over the last decade (Figure 11), but also point to gaps that need to be addressed individually and collectively. Tailored recommendations are addressed to countries and RFMOs on how to achieve this. For example, in several countries, regulations and reporting requirements on fishing-related activities, such as transshipment of catch between vessels, need to be strengthened to levels comparable to those governing fishing, while tougher sanctions should be applied and access to public support cut for fishers who do not abide by the law. At the regional level, RFMOs should publish more comprehensive lists of authorised and IUU fishing vessels and be better at recognising each other’s lists. They should create strict and transparent

Figure 11. Indicators of best policies and practices against IUU fishing



Source: Hutniczak et al. 2019a and Hutniczak et al. 2019b.

sanctioning mechanisms for countries that fail to fulfil their obligations as RFMO members, and adopt catch documentation schemes that certify legal catches in a standardised way.

### Promoting science-based, inclusive policy making

The OECD is also working on enabling policy reforms in difficult contexts: where it takes time to rebuild fish stocks and thus enjoy the benefits of better policies; where data are difficult and expensive to collect; and where affected stakeholders need to be accompanied in transitions. The OECD report *Encouraging policy change for sustainable and resilient fisheries* helps governments find a successful pathway to reform through improved governance, stakeholder consultation, and mobilisation of scientific evidence and analysis. To inspire governments and stakeholders, we also share information on new policy developments and successful initiatives in our biannual *Review of Fisheries*.

### KEY PUBLICATIONS

Delpeuch, C. and B. Hutniczak (2019), “Encouraging policy change for sustainable and resilient fisheries”, *OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries Papers*, No. 127, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/31f15060-en>.

Hutniczak, B., C. Delpeuch and A. Leroy (2019a), “Closing Gaps in National Regulations Against IUU Fishing”, *OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries Papers*, No. 120, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9b86ba08-en>.

Hutniczak, B., C. Delpeuch and A. Leroy (2019b), “Intensifying the Fight Against IUU Fishing at the Regional Level”, *OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries Papers*, No. 121, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/b7b9f17d-en>.

Martini, R. and J. Innes (2018), “Relative Effects of Fisheries Support Policies”, *OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries Papers*, No. 115, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/bd9b0dc3-en>.

OECD (2017), *OECD Review of Fisheries: Policies and Summary Statistics 2017*, OECD Publishing, Paris, [https://doi.org/10.1787/rev\\_fish\\_stat\\_en-2017-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/rev_fish_stat_en-2017-en).

### KEY WEBSITES

<http://oe.cd/fisheries-aquaculture>  
[https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?datasetcode=FISH\\_FSE](https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?datasetcode=FISH_FSE)

### CONTACTS

Claire Delpeuch – [Claire.Delpeuch@oecd.org](mailto:Claire.Delpeuch@oecd.org)  
 Roger Martini – [Roger.Martini@oecd.org](mailto:Roger.Martini@oecd.org)

**90%** of traded goods  
are transported via ocean shipping



## Ocean shipping and shipbuilding

The main transport mode for global trade is ocean shipping: around 90% of traded goods are transported by ship. As such, the oceans provide the main transport arteries for global trade. This comes with opportunities and challenges. The OECD is helping chart the course for more efficient and sustainable maritime transport.

Maritime transport forms part of a whole cluster of economic activities that can create economic value added. Efficient maritime transport can lower the costs for exports and as such enlarge markets. Maritime transport costs have decreased over the last decades because of containerisation and increased ship size. This has contributed to global outsourcing, which has increased global industrial specialisation patterns but also contributed to the decline of manufacturing employment in OECD countries. As such, the increase in maritime trade has had mixed effects. Many parts of the maritime supply chain have improved their efficiency, but potential for efficiency improvements exist for the interfaces between these stakeholders. Information sharing within

the maritime logistics chain and digitalisation – both by private and public stakeholders – can help to further reduce inefficiencies and support smooth operations. The challenge is to make sure that digitalisation is not used as a business strategy by a few powerful players to lock in customers and reduce competition. Guidance to policy-makers is provided in “Information Sharing for Efficient Maritime Logistics” (ITF, 2018).

### Navigating new policy challenges

Ocean shipping is also part of a larger maritime cluster in its position as buyer and customer. The shipping sector buys ships, so sustains the shipbuilding industry, and uses ports, terminals and logistics services. Such maritime clusters are considerable sources of economic value added, jobs and know-how in port-cities, as is illustrated in the paper “The Competitiveness of Global Port-Cities” (OECD, 2012). This know-how could be essential for new ocean-based activities, such as off-shore energy provision. The challenges for policy-makers is to make sure that policy support to the shipping sector realises wider economic benefits and not simply facilitates a “race to the bottom” of flag states trying to attract shipping companies with tax incentives, subsidies or less stringent norms and regulation. Developments in maritime transport – such

as increased market concentration and ever larger ships – have consequences for public infrastructures, such as ports, for which public authorities and governments are responsible. These challenges and possible policy responses have been analysed in various ITF reports including “The Impact of Mega-Ships” (ITF, 2015), “The Impacts of Alliances in Container Shipping” (ITF, 2018) and “Container Shipping Europe: Data for the Evaluation of the EU Consortia Block Exemption Regulation” (ITF, 2019).

### On course towards lower emissions and carbon-neutral shipping?

Maritime transport is not only a source of prosperity but also of pollution. Global shipping is responsible for a substantial share of air emissions: approximately 30% of total global NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. These are emissions have been linked to thousands of premature deaths

in coastal areas. A strategy for the reduction of GHG emissions from shipping has been adopted in 2018 by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) and its member states. This strategy includes relative and absolute emission reduction targets, including the reduction of shipping emissions by at least 50% in 2050 – relative to 2008 values. Much effort will be needed to reach international agreement on the measures needed to realise these targets.

The 2018 ITF report “Decarbonising Maritime Transport: Pathways to zero-carbon shipping by 2035” provides possible mitigation scenarios consisting of different mixes of measures, including operational measures (such as lower ship speeds) and technical measures (such as more energy efficient ship design) and the use of alternative fuels such as hydrogen and renewable energies such as wind energy. The successful implementation of such measures often



Ocean shipping  
is responsible for  
**30%**  
of global NO<sub>x</sub>  
emissions

depends on global regulations, but states can also stimulate reduction of GHG emissions from shipping with national policies and framework conditions. This is illustrated in “Decarbonising Maritime Transport: The case of Sweden” (ITF, 2018). Part of the solution for lowering air emissions could consist of financial incentives at the port level; an overview of these incentives and conditions under which these could be effective is provided in the 2018 report “Reducing Shipping GHG Emissions: Lessons from Port-based incentives” and the 2014 report “Shipping Emissions in Ports”.

### Clearer sailing ahead

Other environmental impacts from shipping include biodiversity impacts related to ballast water from ships and noise pollution having an impact on ocean wildlife. Global policy efforts have recently focused on sulphur and GHG emissions from ships and ballast water management. Important global regulation on sulphur emissions from shipping comes into force in 2020, with a global cap on the sulphur content in ship fuel. ITF provided recommendations on the implementation and enforcement of this regulation in its 2016 report “Reducing Sulphur Emissions from Ships: The Impact of International Regulation”. Efforts could be intensified with respect to NOx and PM emissions.

With world ship completion on a declining trend since its 2011 peak, the challenges of over-capacity and over-supply have intensified for the shipbuilding industry. Consequently, the pressure on governments to introduce or expand policies to assist ailing shipbuilders has further intensified. At the same time, there is a growing intensity of international and regional-level environmental regulation affecting the operation of vessels, inducing both challenges and opportunities for shipbuilders. These issues are made more complex by the growing weight of non-OECD economies in the shipbuilding market, and the existence of value chains linking shipbuilding and the wider maritime sector both within and across countries. Against this backdrop, the OECD’s key interest continues to be in establishing and maintaining normal competitive conditions in the shipbuilding market. This is the role of the OECD Council Working Party on Shipbuilding (WP6), which was created in 1966 with significant shipbuilding industries. Around

this central tenet, policy transparency and improving the understanding and design of shipbuilding policies, are important objectives. The OECD remains the only international forum where national representatives and industry and union interests can come together to exchange views and conduct economic and policy analysis on all aspects of the shipbuilding sector. It is a platform for peer dialogue, sharing of best practices and policy transparency. OECD work on shipbuilding policy in 2019 especially contributes to address the key challenges of the global shipbuilding industry, including excess supply and excess capacity.

#### KEY PUBLICATIONS

ITF (2019), “Container Shipping in Europe: Data for the Evaluation of the EU Consortia Block Exemption Regulation”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), “Decarbonising Maritime Transport: Pathways to zero-carbon shipping by 2035”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), “The Impact of Alliances in Container Shipping”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), “Information Sharing for Efficient Maritime Logistics”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris.

ITF (2018), “Decarbonising Maritime Transport: The case of Sweden”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris/

ITF (2018), “Reducing Shipping GHG Emissions: Lessons from Port-based incentives”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris

ITF (2016), “Reducing Sulphur Emissions from Ships: The Impact of International Regulation”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris

ITF (2015), “The Impact of Mega-Ships”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris

ITF (2014), “Shipping Emissions in Ports”, International Transport Forum at the OECD, Paris

OECD (2012), “The Competitiveness of Global Port-Cities”, OECD Publishing, Paris

#### KEY WEBSITE

[www.itf-oecd.org/maritime](http://www.itf-oecd.org/maritime)

#### CONTACT

Olaf Merk – [olaf.merk@itf-oecd.org](mailto:olaf.merk@itf-oecd.org)



For more information:

---

 [www.oecd.org/ocean](http://www.oecd.org/ocean)

---

 [@OECD\\_ENV](https://twitter.com/OECD_ENV)

---

© OECD, May 2019

